

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,735	08/17/2001	Dinesh C. Verma	YOR920010700US1 6228		
7:	590 07/13/2005		EXAM	INER	
Law Office of Ido Tuchman			AILES, BENJAMIN A		
69-60 108th St Suite 503	reet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Forest Hills, N	Y 11375		2142		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/932,735	VERMA, DINESH C.				
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit		Art Unit				
	Benjamin A. Ailes	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration.  19 a reply within the statutory minimum of thir  19 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  20 by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>15 A<i>pril</i> 2005</u> .					
a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice of	under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	•				
		by the Examiner.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to	· •				
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to not be drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				

•	
12) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attac	hment(	s)						
1) 🛛	Notice	of Re	ference	es Cite	d (P	TO-8	92)	
$\sim$			_					

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) 🗌	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s)	(PTO-14	49 or PT	O/SB/08)
	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date		•		,

٠		
	_	

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1.

		` '	_			
5) 🔲	Notice	of Informa	al Patent	Application	(PTO-152)	,
ᄁᅜ	Notice	OI IIIIOIIII	aı r atent	Application	(F10-152	)

6) 🔲 Other: \_\_\_

<sup>\*</sup> See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,735 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the Amendment filed 21 February 2005 and the Supplement Amendment filed 15 April 2005.

2. Claims 1-32 remain pending.

#### Specification

3. Applicant's arguments, see page 7 of "REMARKS", filed 21 February 2005, with respect to the embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection has been withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Due to the amendment of claim 5 by the applicant, the prior 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection on claim 5 has been withdrawn. Claim 5 is now in conformance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Callagan et al. (U.S. 2002/0007317), hereinafter referred to as Callagan, in view of Elgart (U.S. 2005/0125417).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome

Art Unit: 2142

by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filling date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

7. Regarding claims 1, 11, 14, and 21 Callaghan discloses a method comprising:

Employing a first web server in a first DNS domain, and a second web server in a second DNS domain (p. 3, par. 0050), wherein the first web server uses a first user tracking mechanism to collect client information (p. 3, par. 0049 and 0050) and stores the client information as a client record in a database (p.3 par. 0043, p. 4 par. 0053, and p. 8, par. 0117; It is deemed inherent for the proxy server to use a database when storing client records);

The first web server directing a client to access a resource at the second Web-Server (p. 4, para. 0066-0068);

Said resource encapsulating information about a location of the client record in the database (p. 5, para. 0069-0071);

Art Unit: 2142

The second web server decapsulating the location and retrieving the client record from the database (p. 5, para. 0069-0072); and

The second web server using the client record in conjunction with a second user tracking mechanism (p. 5, para. 0071-0073).

Callaghan does not explicitly disclose encapsulating and decapsulating a link to retrieve a client record in a database. However, in a patent application published, Elgart discloses the ability for more than one user to share information that is located in a database (see page 2, paragraph 0025 of Elgart). In order for the users to be able to share the information, it is required for them to have some sort of way of locating the information that is saved in the database, otherwise there is no way to accurately locate the information that is to be shared among users. Therefore, a first user must share with a second user a method to locate the information stored in the database, in this instance a method of encapsulating or decapsulating the location information between users using well known messaging techniques in the computer networking arts. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have found it useful to modify the invention as disclosed by Callaghan with the database information sharing method as disclosed by Elgart in order to be able to share client record information that is stored in a database accurately and securely. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination for the reasons stated above.

8. Regarding claim 2, in accordance with claim 1, Callaghan disclose the method wherein the first and second user tracking mechanisms use cookies for storing the user client information (p. 3, para. 0043).

sign-on of the first web server (p. 6, para. 0085-0087).

second web server (p.1, para. 0004-0005).

Art Unit: 2142

9. Regarding claim 3, in accordance with claim 1, Callaghan disclose the method wherein the first web server authenticates the client, and the client record includes user authentication data enabling the second web server to use a common sign-on with the

Page 5

- 10. Regarding claim 4, in accordance with claim 1, Callaghan disclose the method wherein the first web server stores within the client record at least one parameter which determines at least one characteristic of at least one page to be sent to the client by the
- 11. Regarding claim 5, in accordance with claim 1, Callaghan disclose the method wherein the parameter includes at least one user preference (p. 1, para. 0004-0005).
- 12. Regarding claim 6, in accordance with claim 5, Callaghan disclose the method wherein said at least one user preference is related to at least one detected purchasing habit (p. 1, para. 0005).
- 13. Regarding claim 7, Callaghan et al. disclose a method comprising:

Employing a first web server in a first DNS domain, and second web server in a second DNS domain (p. 3, para. 0049-0050).

Enabling said first and second web servers to share cookie information (p. 3, para. 43); and

Coordinating cookies across said first and second domains (p. 3, para. 0046-0049).

storing a client record in a database by the first web server (p.3 par. 0043, p. 4 par. 0053, and p. 8, par. 0117; It is deemed inherent for the proxy server to use a database when storing client records); and

creating a link to the second web server that encapsulates information about a location of the client record in the database (p. 5, para. 0069-0072).

Callaghan does not explicitly disclose encapsulating and decapsulating a link to retrieve a client record in a database. However, in a patent application published, Elgart discloses the ability for more than one user to share information that is located in a database (see page 2, paragraph 0025 of Elgart). In order for the users to be able to share the information, it is required for them to have some sort of way of locating the information that is saved in the database, otherwise there is no way to accurately locate the information that is to be shared among users. Therefore, a first user must share with a second user a method to locate the information stored in the database, in this instance a method of encapsulating or decapsulating the location information between users using well known messaging techniques in the computer networking arts. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have found it useful to modify the invention as disclosed by Callaghan with the database information sharing method as disclosed by Elgart in order to be able to share client record information that is stored in a database accurately and securely. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination for the reasons stated above.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,735 Page 7

Art Unit: 2142

14. Regarding claim 8, in accordance with claim 7, Callaghan et al. disclose the method wherein the step of coordinating is performed by a cookie coordinator accessible to said first and second Web-Servers (p. 3, para. 0046-0049).

- 15. Regarding claim 9, in accordance with claim 7, Callaghan et al. disclose the method further comprising providing a cookie coordinator accessible to said first and second Web-Servers to perform the step of coordinating (p. 3, para. 0046-0049).
- 16. Regarding claim 10, in accordance with claim 7, Callaghan et al. disclose the method wherein the step of enabling includes the first web server setting a first cookie having a first identity and the second web server setting a second cookie having a second identity, and the step of coordinating maps the first and second identities to a third identity shared across said first and second domain (p. 4, para. 0053-0056).
- 17. Regarding claims 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, and 22, in accordance with claims 1, 7, 1, 7, 11, and 21, respectively, Callaghan disclose an article of manufacture comprising a computer usable medium having computer readable program code means... (p. 2, para. 0028 and p. 3, para. 0044-0046).
- 18. Regarding claim 18, Callaghan discloses a method comprising:

Employing a first web server in a first DNS domain, and a second web server in a second DNS domain, wherein the first web server maintains a first private cookie at a browser and the second web server maintains a second private cookie at the browser (p. 3, par. 0049 and 0050, p. 4, 0053 and 0054);

Accessing a cookie coordinator when the first private cookie is received by the first web-server (p. 4, para. 0056);

Art Unit: 2142

Mapping a first identity in the first private cookie and a second identity in the second private cookie to a single identity common common across the multiple domains (p. 4, para. 0053).

storing a client record in a database by the first web server (p.3 par. 0043, p. 4 par. 0053, and p. 8, par. 0117; It is deemed inherent for the proxy server to use a database when storing client records); and

creating a link to the second web server that encapsulates information about a location of the client record in the database (p. 5, para. 0069-0072).

Callaghan does not explicitly disclose encapsulating and decapsulating a link to retrieve a client record in a database. However, in a patent application published, Elgart discloses the ability for more than one user to share information that is located in a database (see page 2, paragraph 0025 of Elgart). In order for the users to be able to share the information, it is required for them to have some sort of way of locating the information that is saved in the database, otherwise there is no way to accurately locate the information that is to be shared among users. Therefore, a first user must share with a second user a method to locate the information stored in the database, in this instance a method of encapsulating or decapsulating the location information between users using well known messaging techniques in the computer networking arts. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have found it useful to modify the invention as disclosed by Callaghan with the database information sharing method as disclosed by Elgart in order to be able to share client record information that

Art Unit: 2142

is stored in a database accurately and securely. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination for the reasons stated above.

19. Regarding claim 19, in accordance with claim 18, Callaghan discloses the method further comprising:

Using the single identity to look up the identity of users across the different domains (p. 4, para. 0053), and

The cookie coordinator learning the mapping of the various cookies that are placed independently on the browser by the different servers (p. 4, para. 0053).

- 20. Regarding claim 20, in accordance with claim 18, Callaghan et al. disclose the use of a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions... (p. 2, para. 0028 and p. 3, para. 0044-0046).
- 21. Regarding claims 23, 25, 27, and 29, in accordance with claims 1, 7, 11, and 14, further comprising:

wherein the database is a cookie coordination database (p. 3, para. 0046-0049, It is deemed inherent for the proxy server to use a database when storing client records); and

wherein directing the client to access the resource at the second Web-Server includes sending the client a link to the second Web-Server (p. 5, para. 0071-0073).

Callaghan does not explicitly disclose encapsulating and decapsulating a link to retrieve a client record in a database. However, in a patent application published, Elgart discloses the ability for more than one user to share information that is located in a database (see page 2, paragraph 0025 of Elgart). In order for the users to be able to

Application/Control Number: 09/932,735 Page 10

Art Unit: 2142

share the information, it is required for them to have some sort of way of locating the information that is saved in the database, otherwise there is no way to accurately locate the information that is to be shared among users. Therefore, a first user must share with a second user a method to locate the information stored in the database, in this instance a method of encapsulating or decapsulating the location information between users using well known messaging techniques in the computer networking arts. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have found it useful to modify the invention as disclosed by Callaghan with the database information sharing method as disclosed by Elgart in order to be able to share client record information that is stored in a database accurately and securely. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination for the reasons stated above.

22. Regarding claims 24, 26, 28, 30, 31, and 32, in accordance with claims 1, 7, 14, 18, and 21, Callaghan discloses the method wherein directing the client to access the resource at the second Web-Server includes sending a HTTP response code from the first Web-Server configured to cause the client to be redirected to the second Web-Server using HTTP redirection. (see page 3, paragraph 0048, Callaghan discloses the use of well known HTTP technology methods).

### Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gvily (U.S. 2002/0046170) discloses user impersonation by a proxy server.

Davis et al. (U.S. 5,796,952) disclose a method and apparatus for tracking client interaction with a network resource and creating client profiles and resource database.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Ailes, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3899. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday (7:30-5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell, can be reached at (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [benjamin.ailes@uspto.gov].

Art Unit: 2142

Page 12

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

ANDREW CALDWELL

SPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

BAA